

was used for the final interpretation of molecular geometry and crystal packing. All calculations were performed on a VAX 3100 workstation.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: VJ1006). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Benhamou, B., Garcia, T., Lerouge, T., Vergezac, A., Gofflo, D., Bigogne, C., Chambon, P. & Gronemeyer, H. (1992). *Science*, **255**, 206–209.
- Duax, W. L. & Norton, D. A. (1975). *Atlas of Steroid Structure*, Vol. 1. New York: Plenum Press.
- Gasc, J. C. & Nedelec, L. (1971). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **22**, 2005–2008.
- Geerestein, V. J. van, Kanters, J. A. & Kroon, J. (1987). *Acta Cryst.* **C43**, 318–322.
- Geerestein, V. J. van, Kanters, J. A., van der Sluis, P. & Kroon, J. (1986). *Acta Cryst.* **C42**, 1521–1523.
- Griffin, J. F., Duax, W. L. & Weeks, C. M. (1984). *Atlas of Steroid Structure*, Vol. 2. New York: Plenum Press.
- Heikinheimo, O., Ylikorkala, O. & Lahteenmaki, P. (1990). *Ann. Med.* **22**, 75–84.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). *Comput. Chem.* **7**, 95–98.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Revision 4.11/V. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Ulmann, A. & Dubois, C. (1989). *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. Suppl.* **149**, 9–11.

Acta Cryst. (1995). **C51**, 454–458

Ligands for Application in Coordination Chemistry: Three Dicarboxylic Acids

GEORGE FERGUSON, JOHN F. GALLAGHER AND ALAN J. MCALEES

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

(Received 26 July 1994; accepted 1 September 1994)



Abstract

Three potential ligand molecules have been investigated. 6,6'-*o*-Phenylenedioxybis(2,2-dimethyl-4-oxahexanoic acid), C₂₀H₃₀O₈ (I), has twofold crystallographic symmetry and adopts a conformation in which the two pendant carboxylic arms minimize any potential molecular cavity between them; zigzag polymeric chains are formed as a result of C=O...H—O hydrogen bonding about inversion centers. 2,2,9,9-Tetramethyl-4,7-dioxadecanedioic acid, C₁₂H₂₂O₆ (II), also forms polymeric hydrogen-bonded chains involving the car-

boxylic acid moieties but differs from (I) in its molecular conformation. 2,2,6,6,10,10-Hexamethyl-4,8-dioxadecanedioic acid, C₁₅H₂₈O₆ (III), forms discrete rectangular-shaped centrosymmetric dimers in which any potential molecular cavity is minimized as a result of the close proximity of two of the pendant ethereal arms.

Comment

The structure determinations of the three polyether dicarboxylic acids (I), (II) and (III) were undertaken as part of a program directed towards the development of polyoxygenated ligands for use in the synthesis of transition metal complexes with O atoms in the coordination sphere. Such complexes, with several potentially labile metal–oxygen bonds which could facilitate the generation of free coordination sites for interaction with reactive molecules, might serve as soluble analogs of metal sites at transition-metal–oxide surfaces. The anticipated lability of metal–ether bonds in particular was expected to result in the formation of complexes with unusual structures and coordination geometries about metal atoms (Ferguson, McAlees, McCrindle, Restivo & Roberts, 1977; McAlees & McCrindle, 1981; McCrindle, Ferguson, McAlees & Roberts, 1981). We now report the structures of three dicarboxylic acids which may have potential application as chelating or bridging ligands in inorganic and organometallic coordination chemistry, and show that on their own in the solid state they associate by C=O...H—O hydrogen bonding about inversion centers forming both hydrogen-bonded polymers and dimers. In the structures of the Cu complexes Cu₂(X)₂(L)₂ [X = dicarboxylate of (II); L = H₂O, C₅H₅N and PPh₃], the O atoms of each carboxylate moiety bridge the two Cu atoms and both dicarboxylate ligands behave as macrocyclic chelates towards the Cu₂ unit (McCrindle, Ferguson, McAlees & Roberts, 1981).



6,6'-*o*-Phenylenedioxybis(2,2-dimethyl-4-oxahexanoic acid), (I) (Fig. 1), which resides on a twofold axis passing through the aromatic ring, adopts a

conformation in which any potential molecular cavity between the pendant diether carboxylic acid chains is minimized. The pendant carboxylic acid groups are oriented away from each other and form $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{H}-\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds with the carboxylic acid groups of other inversion-symmetry-related molecules [$\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$ 2.668 (5) Å] resulting in the formation of zigzag hydrogen-bonded $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{H}-\text{O}$ polymeric chains which lie parallel to the *ac* plane. The principal bond lengths and angles are summarized in Table 4 and show no unusual features. The torsion angles in the dicarboxylic acid backbone of (I) are either close to *gauche* or fully *trans*, with the notable exception of $\text{C}3-\text{O}4-\text{C}5-\text{C}6$ which has the value $90.6(5)^\circ$




Fig. 1. A view of the polymeric zigzag chains in the lattice of molecule (I). The non-H atoms are depicted with their displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary size.

2,2,9,9-Tetramethyl-4,7-dioxadecanedioic acid, (II), (Fig. 2) also forms polymeric hydrogen-bonded chains involving the carboxylic moieties, but differs from (I) in the relative orientations adopted by the carboxylic acid moieties and in its molecular packing. The two carboxylic $-\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ moieties are involved in $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{O}-\text{H}$ hydrogen bonding with the carboxylic acid groups of inversion-symmetry-related molecules with $\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$ distances of 2.664 (4) and 2.660 (3) Å. As in (I), the torsion angles in the dicarboxylic acid backbone have




Fig. 2. A view of the polymeric zigzag chains in the lattice of molecule (II); ellipsoids as in Fig. 1.

either close to *gauche* or fully *trans* values with the exception of $\text{C}5-\text{C}6-\text{O}7-\text{C}8$ [$-84.4(2)^\circ$]. Presumably both here and in (I) this is a consequence of the demands of hydrogen-bond formation. Other dimensions (Table 4) are as anticipated.

2,2,6,6,10,10-Hexamethyl-4,8-dioxadecanedioic acid molecules, (III), (Fig. 3) form rectangular-shaped centrosymmetric dimers in which the central molecular cavity is minimized owing to the close proximity of one of the etheral arms and its symmetry-related equivalent across the inversion center. The two carboxylic $-\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ groups involved in the $\text{C}=\text{O}\cdots\text{H}-\text{O}$ hydrogen bonding have $\text{O}\cdots\text{O}$ distances of 2.658 (2) and 2.666 (2) Å. The principal dimensions are summarized in Table 4 and are unexceptional. Diacid (III) has the most strain-free conformation of the three acids, the $\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{O}$ torsion angles in the molecular backbone having close to *gauche* or fully *trans* values and the $\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{C}$ angles having close to fully *trans* values.

There are no solvent molecules present in any of the compounds reported here and an examination of the crystal structures using *PLATON* (Spek, 1992) revealed no potential volume for any such molecules.




Fig. 3. A view of the dimeric hydrogen-bonded macrocycle of molecule (III); ellipsoids as in Fig. 1.

Experimental

Crystals of (I) (McAlees, 1994) suitable for X-ray studies were obtained by recrystallization from benzene. Compounds (II) and (III) were synthesized as described by McAlees & McCrindle (1981).

Compound (I)

Crystal data

C₂₀H₃₀O₈

M_r = 398.45

Orthorhombic

*P*ca*n*

a = 11.321 (3) Å

b = 10.782 (2) Å

c = 17.916 (4) Å

V = 2186.9 (8) Å³

Z = 4

D_x = 1.210 Mg m⁻³

Mo *K*α radiation

λ = 0.71069 Å

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

θ = 11.00–18.00°

μ = 0.09 mm⁻¹

T = 293 K

Block

0.40 × 0.35 × 0.25 mm

Colorless

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer

θ/*2θ* scans

Absorption correction: none

*R*_{int} = 0.023

*θ*_{max} = 23.93°

h = 0 → 12

k = 0 → 12

l = -20 → 20

3344 measured reflections
1714 independent reflections
955 observed reflections
[*I* > 3.0σ(*I*)]

Refinement

Refinement on *F*

R = 0.071

wR = 0.082

S = 2.04

955 reflections

131 parameters

Hydroxyl H atoms refined isotropically, others riding (C–H 0.95 Å)

3 standard reflections
frequency: 60 min
intensity decay: 1.0%

w = 1/[σ²(*F*) + 0.0010*F*²]

(Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.001

Δρ_{max} = 0.28 e Å⁻³

Δρ_{min} = -0.30 e Å⁻³

Atomic scattering factors

from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²) for (I)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*a_i\cdot a_j$$

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> _{eq}
O1	0.4159 (3)	0.4709 (3)	0.0832 (2)	0.086 (2)
O2	0.4078 (3)	0.6179 (3)	0.0000 (2)	0.089 (2)
C1	0.3739 (4)	0.5758 (4)	0.0590 (3)	0.056 (2)
C2	0.2865 (4)	0.6385 (3)	0.1094 (2)	0.060 (2)
C21	0.2224 (5)	0.7430 (5)	0.0670 (3)	0.088 (3)
C22	0.3533 (5)	0.6921 (5)	0.1751 (3)	0.095 (4)
C3	0.1979 (4)	0.5455 (4)	0.1387 (3)	0.075 (3)
O4	0.1414 (4)	0.4907 (3)	0.07598 (19)	0.092 (2)
C5	0.0805 (6)	0.3775 (5)	0.0928 (4)	0.122 (5)
C6	-0.0431 (5)	0.3941 (4)	0.1140 (3)	0.088 (3)
O7	-0.0477 (3)	0.4482 (3)	0.18594 (16)	0.0691 (18)
C11	-0.1559 (4)	0.4720 (3)	0.2155 (2)	0.054 (2)
C12	-0.2612 (4)	0.4437 (4)	0.1814 (3)	0.076 (3)
C13	-0.3666 (5)	0.4719 (6)	0.2155 (3)	0.103 (4)

Compound (II)

Crystal data

C₁₂H₂₂O₆

M_r = 262.30

Monoclinic

*P*2₁/*a*

a = 12.457 (3) Å

b = 9.828 (2) Å

c = 12.588 (2) Å

β = 106.79 (2)°

V = 1475.4 (4) Å³

Z = 4

D_x = 1.181 Mg m⁻³

Mo *K*α radiation

λ = 0.71069 Å

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

θ = 9.00–18.00°

μ = 0.09 mm⁻¹

T = 293 K

Block

0.35 × 0.25 × 0.20 mm

Colorless

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer

θ/*2θ* scans

Absorption correction:

none

3347 measured reflections

3209 independent reflections

1511 observed reflections

[*I* > 3.0σ(*I*)]

*R*_{int} = 0.026

*θ*_{max} = 26.91°

h = -15 → 15

k = 0 → 12

l = 0 → 16

3 standard reflections

frequency: 120 min

intensity decay: 2.0%

Refinement

Refinement on *F*

R = 0.038

Δρ_{max} = 0.12 e Å⁻³

Δρ_{min} = -0.11 e Å⁻³

$wR = 0.052$
 $S = 1.35$
 1511 reflections
 172 parameters
 Hydroxyl H atoms refined isotropically, others riding (C—H 0.95 Å)
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2]$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.006$

Extinction correction:
 Larson (1970)
 Extinction coefficient:
 $4.4 (15) \times 10^3$
 Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B)

$S = 1.55$
 2008 reflections
 199 parameters
 Hydroxyl H atoms refined isotropically, others riding (C—H 0.95 Å)
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0008F^2]$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.008$

Larson (1970)
 Extinction coefficient:
 $1.5 (9) \times 10^3$
 Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B)

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and z -equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (II)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
O1	0.00869 (16)	-0.15882 (19)	0.07014 (17)	0.0744 (13)
O2	0.13893 (14)	-0.01560 (18)	0.05392 (16)	0.0734 (12)
C1	0.1121 (2)	-0.1220 (2)	0.0900 (2)	0.0514 (14)
C2	0.1974 (2)	-0.2201 (2)	0.1606 (2)	0.0541 (14)
C21	0.1905 (3)	-0.3551 (3)	0.0974 (2)	0.078 (2)
C22	0.3143 (2)	-0.1604 (3)	0.1865 (2)	0.0770 (19)
C3	0.1655 (2)	-0.2470 (2)	0.2669 (2)	0.0606 (16)
O4	0.16385 (14)	-0.12087 (15)	0.31971 (13)	0.0622 (11)
C5	0.1285 (2)	-0.1305 (3)	0.4173 (2)	0.0620 (16)
C6	0.1362 (2)	0.0060 (3)	0.4708 (2)	0.0636 (17)
O7	0.05352 (14)	0.09987 (17)	0.41246 (14)	0.0655 (11)
C8	0.0817 (2)	0.1699 (2)	0.3257 (2)	0.0623 (16)
C9	-0.0049 (2)	0.2824 (2)	0.2840 (2)	0.0548 (14)
C91	-0.1209 (2)	0.2233 (3)	0.2328 (2)	0.0724 (17)
C92	0.0337 (3)	0.3649 (3)	0.1976 (2)	0.0737 (19)
C10	-0.0043 (2)	0.3750 (2)	0.3802 (2)	0.0530 (14)
O10	-0.08870 (14)	0.39596 (18)	0.40930 (14)	0.0663 (11)
O11	0.08976 (15)	0.4315 (2)	0.42822 (18)	0.0938 (15)

Compound (III)

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_6$
 $M_r = 304.38$
 Triclinic
 $P\bar{1}$
 $a = 5.765 (2) \text{\AA}$
 $b = 11.951 (2) \text{\AA}$
 $c = 13.325 (2) \text{\AA}$
 $\alpha = 98.17 (2)^\circ$
 $\beta = 91.71 (2)^\circ$
 $\gamma = 101.48 (2)^\circ$
 $V = 889.0 (3) \text{\AA}^3$
 $Z = 2$
 $D_x = 1.137 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
 $\theta/2\theta$ scans
 Absorption correction: none
 3866 measured reflections
 3866 independent reflections
 2008 observed reflections
 $[I > 3.0\sigma(I)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F
 $R = 0.040$
 $wR = 0.057$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{\AA}$
 Cell parameters from 25 reflections
 $\theta = 9.00\text{--}20.00^\circ$
 $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 293 \text{ K}$
 Block
 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
 Colorless

$\theta_{\max} = 26.90^\circ$
 $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
 $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$
 $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
 3 standard reflections
 frequency: 120 min
 intensity decay: 1.0%

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.20 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.13 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 Extinction correction:

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2) for (III)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
O1	0.9480 (3)	0.30937 (15)	0.08673 (12)	0.0751 (10)
O2	1.3350 (3)	0.37190 (15)	0.10601 (13)	0.0837 (11)
C1	1.1359 (4)	0.38058 (17)	0.12883 (15)	0.0508 (11)
C2	1.0872 (4)	0.47917 (16)	0.20541 (14)	0.0515 (11)
C21	0.9584 (5)	0.5544 (2)	0.1488 (2)	0.0767 (18)
C22	1.3203 (5)	0.5501 (2)	0.2576 (2)	0.0704 (14)
C3	0.9265 (4)	0.42770 (18)	0.28290 (15)	0.0538 (11)
O4	1.0474 (2)	0.35808 (12)	0.33298 (11)	0.0577 (9)
C5	0.9153 (4)	0.30244 (17)	0.40600 (15)	0.0513 (11)
C6	1.0597 (4)	0.22684 (16)	0.45158 (14)	0.0479 (11)
C61	1.2895 (4)	0.3004 (2)	0.50456 (18)	0.0667 (14)
C62	0.9120 (5)	0.1637 (2)	0.52775 (17)	0.0671 (15)
C7	1.1238 (3)	0.14002 (17)	0.36721 (15)	0.0498 (11)
O8	0.9138 (2)	0.07096 (12)	0.31744 (10)	0.0567 (8)
C9	0.9547 (4)	-0.00265 (18)	0.22991 (15)	0.0531 (11)
C10	0.7156 (3)	-0.06416 (16)	0.17768 (14)	0.0459 (10)
C101	0.5622 (5)	-0.1298 (2)	0.25027 (18)	0.0679 (14)
C102	0.5886 (4)	0.0238 (2)	0.13940 (17)	0.0639 (14)
C11	0.7579 (4)	-0.14781 (17)	0.08726 (15)	0.0533 (12)
O11	0.5711 (3)	-0.21681 (18)	0.04338 (15)	0.0968 (13)
O12	0.9569 (3)	-0.15011 (14)	0.05777 (13)	0.0820 (11)

Table 4. Summary of some principal dimensions (\AA , $^\circ$) for (I), (II) and (III)

	(I)	(II)	(III)
$\text{Csp}^2\text{—O}$	1.301 (5)	1.280 (3), 1.291 (3)	1.286 (3), 1.294 (3)
$\text{Csp}^2\text{=O}$	1.212 (6)	1.224 (3), 1.227 (3)	1.217 (3), 1.228 (3)
$\text{Csp}^2\text{—Csp}^3$	1.500 (6)	1.513 (3), 1.518 (3)	1.511 (3), 1.522 (3)
$\text{Csp}^3\text{—O(mean)}$	1.423 (8)	1.418 (5)	1.411 (2)
$\text{O(H)} \cdots \text{O}$	2.668 (5)	2.660 (3), 2.664 (4)	2.658 (2), 2.666 (2)
O—H	1.03 (6)	0.92 (4), 1.10 (5)	0.90 (4), 0.95 (4)
$\text{O—H} \cdots \text{O}$	161 (5)	167 (4), 172 (4)	171 (4), 173 (4)

The systematic absences for (I) ($0kl$ absent if $l = 2n + 1$, $h0l$ absent if $h = 2n + 1$ and $hk0$ absent if $h + k = 2n + 1$) uniquely determine the space group to be $Pcan$ [a non-standard setting of $Pbcn$ (No. 60) with equivalent positions $x, y, z; -x, -y, -z; -x, y, \frac{1}{2} + z; x, -y, \frac{1}{2} - z; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, -z; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z$]. The systematic absences for molecule (II) ($0k0$ absent if $k = 2n + 1$, $h0l$ absent if $h = 2n + 1$) uniquely determine the space group to be $P2_1/a$. Molecule (III) crystallized in the triclinic system, space group $P\bar{1}$ or $P\bar{1}$; $P\bar{1}$ was assumed and confirmed by the analysis. In all three cases, all H atoms were clearly resolved in difference maps. The hydroxyl H atoms were refined isotropically and the H atoms attached to the C atoms were positioned on geometric grounds and included as riding atoms (C—H 0.95 Å) in the structure-factor calculations.

Data collection and cell refinement: *CAD-4/PC Software* (Enraf-Nonius, 1992). Data reduction, structure solution and refinement, preparation of material for publication: *NRCVAX* (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989). Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976).

GF thanks NSERC Canada for Grants in Aid of Research.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1215). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Enraf-Nonius (1992). *CAD-4-PC Software*. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ferguson, G., McAlees, A. J., McCrindle, R., Restivo, R. J. & Roberts, P. J. (1977). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* pp. 3170–3171.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **22**, 384–387.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). *Crystallographic Computing*, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall & C. P. Huber, p. 293. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- McAlees, A. J. (1994). Unpublished work.
- McAlees, A. J. & McCrindle, R. (1981). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, pp. 741–745.
- McCrindle, R., Ferguson, G., McAlees, A. J. & Roberts, P. J. (1981). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 1406–1414.
- Spek, A. L. (1992). *PLATON. Molecular Geometry Program*. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Acta Cryst. (1995). **C51**, 458–461

Hindered Cavity-Shaped *N*-Oxides

MACIEJ KUBICKI AND TERESA BOROWIAK

Laboratory of Crystallography, Faculty of Chemistry,
Adam Mickiewicz University, Grunwaldzka 6,
60-780 Poznań, Poland

WIESŁAW Z. ANTKOWIAK

Laboratory of Organic Spectrochemistry,
Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University,
Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland

(Received 18 January 1994; accepted 6 April 1994)


Abstract

The crystal structures of 1-hydroxy-5,6-dihydro-12-azabenz[*a*]anthracene *N*-oxide (1), C₁₇H₁₃NO₂, and 1-methoxy-5,6-dihydro-12-azabenz[*a*]anthracene *N*-oxide (2), C₁₈H₁₅NO₂, have been determined. In both (1) and (2), the 2-phenylquinoline *N*-oxide skeleton has been strengthened by a —CH₂—CH₂— linkage through the 3- and 6'-positions. Moreover, in compound (1) a strong intramolecular O—H···O hydrogen bond is formed which accounts for the fact that a more pla-

nar conformation of molecule (1) is observed compared with molecule (2). Modes of steric strain compensation in both (1) and (2) are discussed.

Comment

Annulation of 2-phenylquinoline *N*-oxide at the 3- and 6'-positions gives a series of cavity-shaped molecules whose shapes depend on the number and hybridization of C atoms in the 3,6'-bridge. The introduction of a substituent at position 2' causes steric strain in the bay region of the molecule which can affect its reactivity. In particular, these compounds have been synthesized as model compounds in order to study the mechanism of the observed facile deoxidation of orellanine (3,3',4,4'-tetrahydroxy-2,2'-bipyridine 1,1'-dioxide) (Antkowiak & Gessner, 1979, 1984; Antkowiak, Antkowiak & Czerwiński, 1990). We present here the crystal structures of 1-hydroxy-5,6-dihydro-12-azabenz[*a*]anthracene *N*-oxide and 1-methoxy-5,6-dihydro-12-azabenz[*a*]anthracene *N*-oxide [hereinafter referred to as (1) and (2), respectively].



The asymmetric unit of compound (2) contains two molecules, denoted as *A* and *B*, which do not differ significantly. The differences in bond lengths and angles are less than $2\sigma_+$ [$\sigma_+ = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^{1/2}$]. The geometries of molecules (1) and (2) are affected by two elements, namely, steric hindrance and/or intramolecular hydrogen bonding. We have selected some structural parameters of (1) and (2) in order to analyse steric hindrance (Table 5); these include: the sum of the deviations, $\Sigma|d|$, of the atoms from the least-squares planes of the aromatic systems, the dihedral angle between the aromatic ring planes (denoted α), the distance between atoms N12 and C1 (denoted $d_{12,1}$) and the O12—N12—C11a and O1—C1—C12b bond angles. All the special features of the molecular structure result from the tendency towards steric hindrance minimization. Examination of Table 5 shows that the modes of steric strain compensation in compounds (1) and (2) differ. In (1), a short intramolecular O1—H1···O12 hydrogen bond [O1···O12 2.450 (3), H1···O12 1.47 (4) Å, O1—H1···O12 159 (3)°] is formed, which restrains the con-